

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/774,180 | 02/06/2004 | Sanjay K. Sancheti | CYPR-CD02216 | 7198 | |
| 7590 03/15/2005 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| WAGNER MURARITO & HAO LLP | |) | I E DINH | I F DINH THANH | |

Two North Market Street, Third Floor
San Jose, CA 95113

2816

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Communication | 10/774,180 | SANJAY K. SANCHETI | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | DINH T. LE | 2816 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| 3) L Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Motice of Informal F 6) Other: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac | tion Summary Pa | art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050311 | | | | |

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Correction or clarification is required.

In claim 1, it is unclear when 'in an delay lock loop mode and a phase locked loop mode" will be happened and how the phase detector and the phase frequency detector can recognize the modes.

In claim 3, it is unclear how the modes can be switched since no means for performing the switching function is recited.

In claim 8, the recitation "said plurality of phase" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 10, it is not understood how the delay blocks can be "configured" as recited on line 4 and how the generator can be "operated" as a voltage controlled oscillator on line 7 and what the "coarse adjustment" and "fine adjustment" are and how these adjustments can be performed.

In claim 12, it is unclear what the "coarse match" is and when it can be encounter and how the phase detector can be used. The same is true for claim 13.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,180

Art Unit: 2816

In claim 15, it is not understood how the phase generator can be "configurable" in a first mode and a second mode and how the generator can coupled to the detectors since no means for performing the coupling function is recited and the generator cannot perform the coupling function. The description is incomplete because the claimed loop circuit does not have an input /output. Thus, the claimed loop circuit may not perform the recited function.

In claim 16, the description of the present invention is incomplete because the phase detector is not connected to anything. Thus, the claimed detector may not perform the recited function. The same is true for claim 20.

In claim 19, it is unclear how the control signal can change the first mode and the second mode since the phase detector is not connected to anything.

The remaining claims are dependent from the above claims and therefore also considered indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by Kurd (US 6,043,717).

Kurd discloses a circuit in Figures 2-4 comprising:

Kurd discloses in Figures 2-4 comprising:

- a configurable phase generator (225) having delay blocks being configured to operate as a delay loop mode to perform coarse adjustment and a voltage controlled oscillator in a phase locked mode for a fine adjustment.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,180

Art Unit: 2816

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 8-17 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Dunn (US 4,069,462) in view of Kurd (US 6,043,717).

As the best construed, Dunn discloses in Figure 2 a circuit comprising:

- a phase detector (19);
- a frequency detector (18);
- a voltage controlled oscillator (17); and
- wherein the circuit is configured to operate a DLL mode and a PLL mode.

However, Dunn does not disclose that the VCO (17) operates as a VCO and as a voltage controlled delay line.

Kurd discloses in Figures 2-4 comprising:

- a configurable phase generator (225) being configured to operate as a delay loop mode to perform coarse adjustment and a voltage controlled oscillator in a phase locked mode for a fine adjustment for reducing space, cost and complexity, see lines 23-30, column 3.

It would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the configurable phase generator taught by Kurd in the circuit of Dunn for the purpose reducing size, cost and complexity.

With regard to claims 9, 14 and 23, the skew of the phases of the input signal(XCLK) would be suppressed.

Claims 6-7 and 18 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn (US 4,069,462) in view of Kurd (US 6,043,717) and further in view of Paakinson (JP404227314A).

Dunn in view of Kurd discloses a circuit with all of the limitations of the base claims as stated above but does not disclose that each delay block is associated with a multiplexer. Paakinson teaches in Figure 4 a selectable delay line comprising a cascaded delay elements each having a multiplexer (20) coupled to a respective delay (RD) for providing widely selectable delay times through the adjustment of input resistors (rd), see the Abstract. It would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the delay line taught by Paakinson in the modified circuit of Dunn for the purpose of widely selecting delay times through the adjustment of the input resistors.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DINH T. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-1745. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8AM-7PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY CALLAHAN can be reached at (571) 272-1740.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,180

Art Unit: 2816

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DINH LE

Primary Examiner

Memballle